REMARKS

Claims 1 - 17 are pending.

I. <u>Claim Objection</u>

The Office action objects to Claim 1 for reciting "aluminium". Applicant submits there is no need to amend Claim 1 to change this spelling. This spelling is the British English spelling for this element as indicated by the attached *Grant & Hackh's Chemical Dictionary* definition (ATTACHMENT I). MPEP 608.01 states:

Examiners should not object to the specification and/or claims in patent applications merely because applicants are using British English spellings (e.g., colour) rather than American English spellings. It is <u>not</u> necessary to replace the British English spellings with the equivalent American English spellings in the U.S. patent applications. Note that 37 CFR 1.52(b)(1)(ii) only requires the application to be in the English language. There is no additional requirement that the English must be American English.

II. 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph

The Office action rejects claims 15 – 17, citing 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Claim 15 recites "wherein the concentration of the AL2S3 is in the range 4 to 10 wt%." Page 12, lines 14 – 15 indicate the amount of Al₂S₃ added to the quaternary mixture was increased from 4% to 10% and cites Fig. 3, Examples C and D. Fig. 3 shows Example C at 4% and Example D at 10 %. Thus, the specification and Fig. 3 supports a concentration of Al₂S₃ ranging from 4% to 10%. The single values make a range. Furthermore, page 4, lines 1 – 2 describes the addition of 5 – 10 wt % Al₂S₃. Thus, the 4% value merely extends the 5 to 10% range.

This also follows from the case of *In re Wertheim* cited in MPEP 2163.05.III. In the decision in *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976), the ranges described in the original specification included a range of "25%-60%" and specific examples of "36%" and "50%." A corresponding new claim limitation to "at least 35%" did not meet the description requirement because the phrase "at least" had no upper limit and caused the claim to read literally on embodiments outside the "25% to 60%" range, however a limitation to "between 35% and 60%" did meet the description requirement.

The issue is whether the written description is sufficient to indicate the applicant possessed the invention. The application disclosed (A) electrolyzing A1₂S₃ in a bath of molten chloride salt in which the A1₂S₃ is dissolved without limiting the amount of A1₂S₃, (B) 4% and 10% A1₂S₃ examples, and (C) a range of 5 to 10% A1₂S₃. From this it is apparent the inventors contemplated 4.1% Al₂S₃ and any other amount between 4 and 5%. Thus, there is support for the entire 4-10% range.

III. <u>35 U.S.C. §103(a)</u>

A. Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 11-17

The Office action rejects claims 1 – 5, 7 – 9, and 11 – 17, citing 35 U.S.C. §103(a), US 4,464,234 to Minh et al. (hereinafter, "Minh"), and Khazanov et al. "Electrolysis of Fused Aluminum Sulfide," Legkie Metally (1935), Vol. 4, No. 11, pp. 1 - 14 (hereinafter, "Khazanov").

Minh teaches a process for the production of primary aluminum, comprising: electrolyzing (conducting electrolysis of the bath) [col. 3, Il. 55 - 60] of Al₂S₃ in a bath of molten chloride salt in which the Al₂S₃ is dissolved (the molten bath is prepared from aluminum sulfide, the alkali metal chloride or chlorides, and the chloride component composed of one or more alkaline earth metal chlorides, ammonium chloride, or mixtures of these chlorides) [col. 3, Il. 44 - 49], wherein the molten chloride salt comprises a MgCl₂-NaCl-KCl mixture (cols. 4-6, Examples I-III).

The Office action appreciates the process of Minh differs from the instant invention because Minh does not disclose that an additive comprising a fluoride compound is added to the bath to improve the electrical conductivity of the bath to enable an increase in the current density in the bath, as recited in claim 1.

However, the Office action argues that like Minh, Khazanov teaches the electrolysis of Al₂S₃ in a molten bath of NaCl-KCl-3NaF·AlF₃ (p. 13, Table 17). Also, the Office action argues Khazanov teaches increasing the concentration of cryolite (3NaF·AlF₃) increases the current yield (p. 5, II. 15 - 31; Table 6, and Figs. 1 - 2). Thus, the Office action concludes it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified the bath described by Minh with a fluoride compound or cryolite, because adding cryolite to the bath would have increased the current yield.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Minh et al. has been cited as being the closest prior art to the present application (see p. 2, l. 11 et seq.). From Minh et al. it is known to use a bath of molten chloride salts comprising NaCl, KCl and Mg₂Cl₂. In particular, Mg₂Cl₂ is added to increase the solubility of Al₂S₃ since the solubility in a bath of molten NaCl and KCl is negligible.

However, the present inventors realized addition of suitable additives like cryolite increases the solubility of Al_2S_3 in the bath of molten alkali metal chlorides to a level at which the solubility is no longer the limiting factor in the electrolysis process but the conductivity. This has opened the way to simple and more environmentally friendly bath (see p. 7, 1l. 25 – 32 of the present application).

The proposed combination of Minh and Khazanov is based on a hindsight reconstruction of the present invention. At the time the present invention was made, the combination of Minh and Khazanov would not have prompted a person having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the present invention.

Furthermore, even a person having ordinary skill in the art combining the teachings of Minh and Khazanov will not arrive at the subject-matter of the present claims.

Khazanov tests salt mixtures. Table 17 on page 13 of Khazanov discloses the current output for salt mixtures, but a steady cryolite content of 30 wt. % is employed. Table 17 indicates a mixture of NaCl and KCl (28 wt. % and 42 wt. %) has a better current output than KCl alone. Since the cryolite content is the same, a skilled artisan would have attributed the better current output to the chemical composition of the salt mixture. Khazanov provides no apparent reason for one of ordinary skill in the art to assume improved current output could be achieved by the addition of cryolite to a bath of molten chloride salt comprising a MgCl₂-NaCl-KCl mixture.

Moreover, Table 6 of Khazanov indicates the current output in a NaCl-AlF₃ 3NaF alloy is better if the cryolite content is high. However, a person having ordinary skill in the art had no reason to assume this result, achieved for NaCl only, would be acheived with a salt mixture containing NaCl and KCl, or a salt mixture containing NaCl, KCl, and MgCl₂.

Thus, the subject matter of present claim 1 distinguishes over a combination of

the teaching of Khazanov and Minh.

Claims 11, 12 and 15-17 further distinguish over the references by selecting specific levels of A1₂S₃ and cryolite.

B. Claim 6

The Office action rejects claim 6, citing 35 U.S.C. §103(a), Minh, Khazanov, and US 2,939,824 to Greenfield (hereinafter, "Greenfield"). The discussion above regarding the combination of Minh and Khazanov applies to claim 6, because claim 6 depends from claim 1. Greenfield is not cited to compensate for the shortcomings of the combination of Minh and Khazanov.

C. Claim 10

The Office action rejects claim 10, citing 35 U.S.C. §103(a), Minh, Khazanov, and US 4,133,727 to Rogers, Jr. (hereinafter, "Rogers"). The discussion above regarding the combination of Minh and Khazanov applies to claim 10, because claim 10 depends from claim 1. Rogers is not cited to compensate for the shortcomings of the combination of Minh and Khazanov.

IV. Conclusion

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including any shortage in Extension of Time fees, to Deposit Account 14.1437. Please credit any excess fees to such account.

The present application is in condition for allowance, and applicants respectfully request favorable action. In order to facilitate the resolution of any questions, the Examiner is welcome to contact the undersigned by phone.

NOVAK DRUCE + QUIGG, LLP 1300 Eye St. N.W. Suite 1000 West Washington, D.C. 20005

Phone: (202) 659-0100 Fax: (202) 659-0105

Date: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 Attorney Docket No. 8674.031.US0000

APV/MPB

Respectfully submitted, NOVAK DRUCE + QUIGG, LLP

By: /anthony p venturino/

Anthony P. Venturino Registration No. 31,674

GRANT & HACKH'S

CHEMICAL DICTIONARY

[American, International, European and British Usage]

Containing the Words Generally Used in Chemistry, and Many of the Terms Used in the Related Sciences of Physics, Medicine, Engineering, Biology, Pharmacy, Astrophysics, Agriculture, Mineralogy, etc.

Based on Recent Scientific Literature

FIFTH EDITION
Completely Revised and Edited by

ROGER GRANT

M.A., D. de PU., Ph.D., C. Chem., M.R.S.C. Consultant

CLAIRE GRANT

M.B., R.S., M.R.C.P.E. Medical Practitioner

McGRAW-HILL BOOK COMPANY

New York St. Louis San Francisco Aucktand Bogotá Hamburg Johannesburg Londan Madrid Mexico Milan Montreat New Delhi Panama Paris São Paula Singapore Sydney Tokyo Toronta

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Hackh, Ingo W. D. (Ingo Waldemar Dagobert), 1890–1938. Grant & Hackh's chemical dictionary.

Rev. ed. of: Chemical dictionary. 4th ed. 1969.
1. Chemistry—Dictionaries. I. Grant, Roger L.
II. Grant, Claire. III. Title. IV. Title: Grant & Hackh's chemical dictionary.
Under the dictionary.
QD5.H3 1987 540'.3 86-7496
ISBN 0-07-024067-1

Copyright © 1987 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a data base or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

1234567890 DOCDOC 8943210987

ISBN 0-07-024067-1

The previous edition of this book was Hackh's Chemical Dictionary, 4th ed., published by McGraw-Hill in 1969. It was prepared by Dr. Julius Grant from a Chemical Dictionary compiled by Ingo W. D. Hackh. The current, or 5th, edition of this book was prepared by Dr. Roger L. Grant, whose father prepared the 4th edition.

The editors for this book were Betty J. Sun and Susan Thomas, the designer was Naomi Auerbach, and the production supervisor was Teresa F. Leaden. It was set in Palatino by University Graphics, Inc.

Printed and bound by R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company.

aluminic acid H₃AlO₃. A hypothetical tautomer of aluminum hydroxide, Al(OH)₃ = H₃AlO₃. aluminiferous A rock yielding or containing Al. aluminite Al₂(SO₄)(OH)₄·7H₂O. Native, soft, white, monoclinic hydrous aluminum sulfate. Cf. websterite. aluminium Aluminum* (U.K. usage). aluminoferric A mixture of aluminum sulfate and a ferrous

salt, used to coagulate sewage.

aluminum* Al = 26.98154. Aluminium. Metal and element of at. no. 13. One of the most abundant metals, isolated in an impure form by Oersted (1825), and in the pure form by Wöhler (1827) from sodium and aluminum trichloride. Silverwhite, light, ductile metal, d₂₀·2.70, m.660, b.2460, soluble in acids or alkalies; readily oxidized and covered with a fine protective film of aluminum oxide. Used extensively for cooking utensils, a. fnil, airplanes, boats, automobiles. A forms many important alloys, e.g., magnalium, electron, Duralumin. A. has a valency of 3 and forms one series of compounds. Aluminates are derived from aluminum hydroxide (aluminum valency 3):

Aluminum ion ... Al³⁺
Orthoaluminate ... AlO₂
Metaaluminate ... AlO₂

a. acetate (CH₃COO)₃Al = 204.1. Amorphnus white powder, decomp. by heat, soluble in water. A 5% aqueous solution is used as a gargle, astringent, or antiseptic (USP). a. acetate, basic Al(C₂H₃O₂)₂OH = 162.1. A. subacetate. White crystals; used as a mordant and disinfectant, and for embalming. a. ammonium sulfate* Al₂(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂·24H₂O = 906.6. Ammonium alum. Cnlorless, regular crystals, m.94, soluble in water. Used for water purification and in baking pnwder, foam fire extinguishers, and electroplating; an astringent. a. alkyls Compounds of aluminum and alkyl radicals, e.g., trimethylaluminums. a. alloys Some m. (in

,					
With	90% Al	80% ∆I	70% Al	60% Al	50% Al
	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%
Ag	625	615	600	590	580
Au	675	740	800	855	915
Cu	630	600	560	540	580
Fe	860	1015	1110	1145	1145
Sb	750	840	925	945	950
Sn	645	635	625	620	605

a. arsenate* AlAsO₄ = 165.9. White powder, soluble in acids. a. benzoate* Al($C_7H_5O_2$)₃ = 390.3. White crystals, soluble in water. a. hifluoride (Al₂F₆)₃(HF)₄·10H₂O₃. A. acid fluoride. White crystals, soluble in water. a. borate $2Al_2O_3 \cdot B_2O_3 \cdot 3H_2O = 327.6$. White granules, soluble in water; used in glass and porcelain. a. bromate* Al(BrO₃)₇·9H₂O = 572.8. Colorless, hygroscopic crystals, m.62, decomp. 100, soluble in water, a. bromide* AlBr₃·6H₂O = 374.8. Colorless or yellow hygroscopic crystals, m.93, soluble in water; used in organic synthesis. anhydrous ~ AlBr₃ = 266.7. Yellow fuming scales; used in organic synthesis. a. bronze An alloy:

Cu 90, Al 10%, m.1050. a. butoxide $Al(OC_4H_9)_8 = 246.3$. White powder, m. 102, decomp. in water. a. carbide* Al₄C₃ = 144.0. Yellow hexagons, decomp. in water; used to generate methane. a. carbonate* $Al_2(CO_3)_3 = 234.0$. White lumps, insoluble in water; a mild antiseptic. a. caseinate Yellow powder, insoluble in water. a. cesium rubidium sulfate* Al₂CsRb(SO₄)₄·24H₂O = 1089. Cesium rubidium alum. Colorless crystals, soluble in water. a. cesium sulfate* $AlCs(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O = 568.2$. Cesium alum. Colorless crystals, m.117, slightly soluble in water. a. chloride* AlCl₃·6H₂O = 241.4. Colorless crystals, soluble in water. anhydrous AlCl₃ = 133.3. Yellow crystals, m.180, soluble in water. Used in Friedel-Crafts reaction; as an antiperspirant (USP); industrially as a catalytic agent and in refining petroleum; and as a reagent for naphthalene. a. dichromate* $Al_2(Cr_2O_7)_3 =$ 701.9. Red crystals, soluble in water. a. ethoxide $AI(OC_2H_5)_3 = 162.2$. Triethoxyaluminum. White powder, m.134, soluble in hot water; a reagent for water. a. ethyl Triethylaluminum. a. fluoride. AlF₃: 3 /H₂O = 143.0. Colorless crystals, slightly soluble in water, losing 2 H₂O at 120. anhydrous \sim AlF₃ = 84.0. White powder, soluble in water: used in the glass industry. a. fluorosilicate A. hexafluorosilicate. a, gluconate See gluconate. A. hexafluorosilicate[IV]* $Al_2(SiF_6)_3 = 480.2$. A. fluo(ro)silicate, a, silicofluoride. White pnwder, insoluble in water; used in the glass and enamel industries. a. hydrate, a. hydroxide* Al(OH)₃ = 78.0. White powder, insoluble in water; occurs native as gibbsite, hydrargylite, and zirlite. With bases it forms salts; see aluminic acid. Used as an antacid and demulcent for indigestion and peptic ulcer (USP, BP); an astringent, dusting powder, mordant, filter aid, and neutralizing agent. basic ~ Includes AlO(OH), e.g bashite; Al₂O(OH)₄, e.g., basxite; also mixtures with the normal hydroxide.

a. hydroxide gel An aqueous suspension of a. h. and alumina equivalent to 3.6-4.4% Al(OH)3, with preservative and flavoring; an antacid. a. iodide* AlI3 6H2O = 515.8. White crystals, soluble in water. anhydrous \sim All₁ = 407.7. Brown crystals, m.180, soluble in water; used in organic synthesis. a. lactate Al($C_3H_5O_3$)₃ = 294.2. Yellow powder, soluble in water. a. minerals A. is the most abundant metal and is present in all rocks (except the limestanes and sandstones), chiefly in silicates, e.g., feldspar, clays, micas, sillimanite,

 Bauxite
 Al₂O₃·2H₂O

 Bayerite
 Al₂O₃·H₂O

 Boehmite, diaspore
 AlO OH

 Corundum
 Al₂O₃

 Cryolite
 AlF₃·3NaF

 Gibbsite
 Al(OH)₃

 Little collision
 Al₂O₃·3H₂O